

**C++**

**OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING FOR C  
DEVELOPERS**

# C++

- C++ was first released in 1985
- Compiled language providing fine control on resource usage
- Any code written in C can be compiled and used in C++

*Note:* Python was first released in 1991 and is coded in  
C

Somehow, Python is an "interpreted cousin" of C++

# IMPERATIVE PROGRAMMING

- Math-inspired paradigm
- Treat data using functions
- Procedural, iterative, instruction lists...
- Best when dealing with primitive data types (especially in large arrays)

C is such a language.

As well as C++, Python, Julia, JavaScript, *etc.*

# OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING

- Data is no longer "bare", but encapsulated in objects
- An object contains both data *and code*
  - *Data as attributes*
  - *Code as methods* (much like functions but exclusive to an object)
- Interacting with an object is done through its *methods*

Paradigm in C++, Java, Python, Julia, JavaScript, *etc.*

# SOME TERMINOLOGY

- A **class** is the blueprint of an object, attributes and methods are defined in a class
- An **object** is an **instance of a class**, there can be many objects of the same class
- An **attribute** is to a class what a **field** is to a struct
- A **method** is a class-specific function having access to:
  - `this` (*i.e.* a pointer to an object of this class)
  - all attributes and methods of *this* object

# HOW TO IMPLEMENT IT IN C ?

Start with a struct

```
5 struct Object {
6     int anInt; // fields in C -> attributes in OOP
7     float aFloat;
8     char someText[32];
9 };
```

Initialise an object

```
13 struct Object initObject(int i, float f, char text[]) {
14     struct Object object = {i, f, ""};
15     strncpy(object.someText, text, 32);
16     object.someText[31] = '\0';
17     return object;
18 }
```

# HOW TO IMPLEMENT IT IN C ?

Write some *methods* as functions

```
21 void printObject(struct Object self) {  
22     printf("self.anInt : %i\n", self.anInt);  
23     printf("self.aFloat : %f\n", self.aFloat);  
24 }
```

```
27 void doubleObject(struct Object* self) {  
28     self->anInt *= 2;  
29     self->aFloat *= 2;  
30 }
```

Noticed a difference ?

struct Object self

struct Object\* self

# HOW TO IMPLEMENT IT IN C ?

## Use it in a program

```
33 int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
34     srand(42); // seeding the rng
35     for (int i=0; i+1 < argc; ++i) {
36         float num = (float)rand();
37         float den = (float)rand();
38         while (den == 0.f) den = (float)rand();
39         struct Object object = initObject(i, num/den, argv[i+1]);
40         printObject(object);
41         doubleObject(&object);
42         printObject(object);
43         printf("self.someText : %s\n", object.someText);
44         printf("\n");
45     }
46 }
```

```
$ ./c00P this is a test veryLongTextThatIsGoingBeyond32Characters
```



# NOTE ON PYTHON

Have you noticed the "self" in the code ?

See what I'm doing here ?

This is basically how the Python interpreter is implemented.

At C level, object is in fact a pointer to a struct called PyObject.

# **C++ SYNTAX BASICS**

# REFERENCES AND POINTERS

- `Type*` : a *pointer* to an instance of `Type`
- `&arg` : returns the *address* of `arg`
- `*ptr` : *dereferences* a pointer
- `Type&` : a *reference* to an instance of `Type`

References are not present in C, but are of utmost importance in C++

# REFERENCES AND POINTERS

```
Object obj; // This is an instance of the class Object
Object* objPtr; // This is a pointer to an Object
objPtr->print(); // Will segfault !!
objPtr = &obj; // Store the address of obj into a pointer
objPtr->print(); // Call the print() method of obj
obj.print(); // Exactly equivalent to the previous line
```

In C++ you can use references in addition to pointers

```
Object obj; // This is an instance of Object
Object& objRef(obj); // This is a reference to obj
objRef.print(); // Call the print() method of obj
obj.print(); // Exactly equivalent to the previous line
```

# REFERENCES AND POINTERS

```
Object* objPtr; // This is a pointer to an object
objPtr = new Object; // Store the address of a new Object
Object& objRef(*objPtr); // Reference to a dynamic Object
Object obj(*objPtr); // (static) copy of a dynamic Object
objPtr->print(); // Call the print() method
objRef.print(); // Exactly equivalent to the previous line
delete objPtr; // Destroy Object and free the memory
objPtr->print(); // Will segfault !!
objRef.print(); // Will segfault !!
obj.print(); // Call the print() method of the copy
```

# NOTION OF "CONSTNESS"

You can code in C++ without using the keyword `const`

But should you ?

# COPY VS REFERENCE

```
// Here, a copy is made
void someFct(Object object) {
    object.modifyAttributes(); // The attributes changed
    // No changes persist outside of this function
    // since the changes are made to a copy
}
```

```
// Here, no copy is made
void someFct(Object& object) {
    object.modifyAttributes(); // The attributes changed
    // The changes are made to the original object (no copy)
}
```

What if you want to ensure the argument (object) is not modified, but you also want to avoid a copy being made

?

# CONST ARGUMENTS

```
// Here, no copy is made
void someFct(const Object& object) {
    object.modifyAttributes(); // This will not be allowed
    int i = object.anInt(); // Is this allowed ?
}
```

That depends

```
class Object {
    [...]
public:

    int anInt() { return 21; }
    int anInt() const { return 42; }
};
```



# CONST ARGUMENTS

```
class Object {  
    [...]  
public:  
    // Only allowed in a non-const context  
    int anInt() { return 21; }  
  
    // Used in a const context (avail. in non-const if needed)  
    int anInt() const { return 42; }  
};
```

```
void testNoConst(Object& obj) {  
    std::cout << "testNoConst : " << obj.anInt() << std::endl;  
} // testNoConst : 21
```

```
void testConst(const Object& obj) {  
    std::cout << "testConst : " << obj.anInt() << std::endl;  
} // testConst : 42
```

# **CLASS BASICS**

# CLASS BASICS

Let's start with some code:

```
class Object {  
  
    int anInt;  
    float aFloat;  
    char someText[32]; // -> This is C  
  
public:  
    // This is the "C way"  
    Object(int i, float f, char text[]) :  
        anInt(i), aFloat(f), someText{} {  
        strncpy(someText, text, 32);  
        someText[31] = '\\0';  
    }  
};
```

# CLASS BASICS

Use the C++ standard library:

```
class Object {  
  
    int anInt;  
    float aFloat;  
    std::string someText; // -> This is C++  
  
public:  
  
    Object(int i, float f, char text[]) :  
        anInt(i), aFloat(f), someText(text) {}  
};
```

# CLASS BASICS

```
class Object {
[...]
    void print() {
        std::cout << "this->anInt : " << anInt << std::endl;
        std::cout << "this->aFloat : " << aFloat << std::endl;
    }

    std::string getSomeText() { return someText; }

    void doubleValues() {
        anInt = anInt * 2; // eq. to anInt *= 2;
        aFloat *= 2; // eq. to aFloat = aFloat * 2;
    }
};
```

# PRIVATE AND PUBLIC

In a struct, all *fields* are public.

In a class, all *attributes* are private by default. (But they can be declared *protected* or *public*)

OOP is all about using the *interface* of a class

```
class Object {  
  
    int _anInt; // private  
  
public:  
  
    void setAnInt(int i) { _anInt = i; } // setter  
    int anInt() { return _anInt; } // getter  
  
    void anInt(int i) { _anInt = i; } // setter  
};
```

# INTERLUDE : NAMING CONVENTIONS

When starting a project, choose a naming convention  
*and stick to it e.g.:*

- Classes start with a capital letter, instances do not
- Private attributes start with an underscore
- camelCaseLooksLikeThis
- PascalCaseLikeThisNoticeTheCapitalFirstLetter
- and\_snake\_case\_like\_this

# OPERATORS OVERLOADING

```
class Object {  
  
    int _anInt;  
    float _aFloat;  
  
public:  
  
    Object& operator=(int i) {  
        _anInt = i; return *this;  
    }  
  
    Object& operator=(float f) {  
        _aFloat = f; return *this;  
    }  
};
```



# OPERATORS OVERLOADING

```
class Object {
[...]  
public:  
  
    Object& operator*=(float f) {  
        _anInt *= f; // Will be "floored" to an int  
        _aFloat *= f;  
        return *this;  
    }  
  
    Object& operator*=(int f) {...}  
    Object& operator*=(double f) {...}  
};
```

# OPERATORS OVERLOADING

```
class Object {  
  
    int _anInt;  
    float _aFloat;  
    std::string _someText;  
  
public:  
    // Make the compiler generate overloads  
    template <typename NumericType>  
    Object& operator*=(NumericType n) {  
        _anInt *= n; // eq. to this->_anInt *= n;  
        this->_aFloat *= n; // eq. to _aFloat *= n;  
        return *this;  
    }  
};
```

# COPY OPERATOR/CONSTRUCTOR

```
class Object {
[...]
```

public:

```
    //Object(const Object& other) : _anInt(other._anInt),
    //    _aFloat(other._aFloat), _someText(other._someText) {}
    Object(const Object& other) = default;

    Object& operator=(const Object& other) {
        _anInt = other._anInt;
        _aFloat = other._aFloat;
        _someText = other._someText;
        return *this;
    }
};
```

# IMPLICIT VS EXPLICIT

```
class Object {
[...]
```

public:

```
    // Conversion :
    operator int() { return _anInt; } // implicit operator
    explicit operator float() { return _aFloat; }
    // Assigment :
    Object& operator=(int i) { _anInt = i; return *this; }
    Object& operator=(float f) { _aFloat = f; return *this; }
};
```

```
int main() {
    Object object(42, 32.5, "blah");
    int i;
    float f;

    i = object; // i = 42
    f = object; // f = 42.f
    f = static_cast<float>(object); // f = 32.5f
}
```

# SO FAR...

- Encapsulation
- Overloading (operators and methods)
- Overloading (const and no-const)
- Explicit/Implicit conversions

# **VIRTUALITY & INHERITANCE**

# POLYMORPHISM

OOP is about a lot more than "code in a struct".

What if we wanted several different classes sharing  
(part of) the same *interface* ?

A bit like how we can mix up numeric types (int, float...) and let the compiler handle the casting

This is called **polymorphism**. That is, behaving the same (to a point), while being different classes.

# POLYMORPHISM

Again, how to do it in C ?

```
struct Number {
    void* attributes;
    struct Number(*copy)(struct Number*); // copy
    void(*print)(struct Number*);

    float(*re)(struct Number*); // ptr to a function
    float(*im)(struct Number*); // those are getters
    float(*norm)(struct Number*);

    void(*imul)(struct Number*, struct Number*); // op. *=
    void(*iadd)(struct Number*, struct Number*); // op. +=
    struct Number(*mul)(struct Number*, struct Number*); // *
    struct Number(*add)(struct Number*, struct Number*); // +
};
```



# POLYMORPHISM

Don't get confused, there is no code in a struct, but pointers to functions.

The idea here is to create a *table* that maps a **signature** to a function meant for a type of Number.

This *table* is the interface. Common to all *instances* of Number.

But the programmer can initialise each instance of Number with pointers to different custom functions.

# POLYMORPHISM

Let's say we want *Real* numbers and *Complex* numbers:

```
struct Number initComplex(float re, float im);  
struct Number initReal(float re);
```

And operators that work for all types of number :

```
float re(struct Number* a) { return a->re(a); }  
float im(struct Number* a) { return a->im(a); }  
float norm(struct Number* a) { return a->norm(a); }  
void print(struct Number* a) { a->print(a); }  
struct Number copy(struct Number* a) { return a->copy(a); }  
struct Number sum(struct Number* a, struct Number* b) { ... }  
struct Number prod(struct Number* a, struct Number* b) { ... }  
void iadd(struct Number* a, struct Number* b) { ... }  
void imul(struct Number* a, struct Number* b) { ... }
```

# POLYMORPHISM

Let's again have a peek on the Python interpreter textbook :

Duck typing : "If it walks like a duck, and it quacks like a duck, then it must be a duck"

If we restrict our "*interface*" to how they walk and the noise they make, several birds might be mistaken for ducks.

To us, they are polymorphs

Very much like our Numbers

# POLYMORPHISM

## In-place operators :

```
void iaddComplex(struct Number* self, struct Number* other) {  
    float* att = ((float*)self->attributes);  
    att[0] = re(self) + re(other);  
    att[1] = im(self) + im(other);  
}
```

```
void imulComplex(struct Number* self, struct Number* other) {  
    float* att = ((float*)self->attributes);  
    att[0] = re(self) * re(other) - im(self) * im(other);  
    att[1] = re(self) * im(other) + im(self) * re(other);  
}
```

```
void iaddReal(struct Number* self, struct Number* other) {  
    *((float*)self->attributes) = re(self) + re(other);  
}
```

```
void imulReal(struct Number* self, struct Number* other) {  
    *((float*)self->attributes) = re(self) * re(other);  
}
```

# POLYMORPHISM

add and mul methods :

```
struct Number addNumber(struct Number* self,  
                        struct Number* other) {  
    struct Number res = copy(self);  
    iadd(&res, other);  
    return res;  
}  
  
struct Number mulNumber(struct Number* self,  
                        struct Number* other) {  
    struct Number res = copy(self);  
    imul(&res, other);  
    return res;  
}
```

See ? These can be the same for both Real and Complex.

# POLYMORPHISM

## Complex :

```
struct Number initComplex(float re, float im) {
    struct Number self = { malloc(2*sizeof(float)) };
    float* att = ((float*)self.attributes);
    att[0] = re;
    att[1] = im;
    [...]
    self.iadd = &iaddComplex; // <-- see this ?
    self.imul = &imulComplex; // <-- see this ?
    self.add = &addNumber; // <-- and this ?
    self.mul = &mulNumber; // <-- and this ?
    [...]
    return self;
}
```

```
void destroyNumber(struct Number* self) {
    free(self->attributes);
    self->attributes = NULL;
}
```

# POLYMORPHISM

```
struct Number initReal(float re) {
    struct Number self = { malloc(sizeof(float)) };
    float* att = ((float*)self.attributes);
    *att = re;
    [...]
    self.iadd = &iaddReal; // <-- see this ?
    self.imul = &imulReal; // <-- see this ?
    self.add = &addNumber; // <-- and this ?
    self.mul = &mulNumber; // <-- and this ?
    [...]
    return self;
}
```

```
void destroyNumber(struct Number* self) {
    free(self->attributes);
    self->attributes = NULL;
}
```

# IN ACTION

```
int main() {
    struct Number real = initReal(2.5f);
    struct Number complex = initComplex(2, 2);

    struct Number prodComplex = prod(&complex, &real);
    print(&prodComplex); printf("\n");
    struct Number sumReal = sum(&real, &complex);
    print(&sumReal); printf("\n");
    imul(&real, &complex);
    print(&real); printf("\n");
    iadd(&complex, &complex);
    print(&complex); printf("\n");

    destroyNumber(&real); destroyNumber(&complex);
}
```



# SUMMING UP

We can implement **polymorphism** at C level by associating *signatures* to custom functions.

The programmer needs to be careful to not mix up those functions and to keep track of the actual type of a Number (Real/Complex).

One can easily override a "method" at runtime.

In C++, you do not need to do all that bookkeeping work yourself.

# VIRTUALITY & INHERITANCE IN C++

# PARENT CLASS

```
1 class Number {
2
3     float _re;
4
5 public:
6     [...]
7     float re() const { return _re; }
8     virtual float im() const { return 0.f; }
9     virtual float norm() const { ... }
10    [...]
11 };
```

# VIRTUAL METHODS

Methods marked as virtual create an entry in the **virtual table**.

Child classes can override an entry in the virtual table, like in C with pointers to custom functions.

You can then manipulate objects of type `Number&` or `Number*` even if the actual object is a Real or Complex

# PURE VIRTUAL

```
class Number {
[...]
```

```
public:
    // This is a "pure virtual"
    virtual explicit operator std::string() =0;
    // This is a virtual with default implementation
    virtual Number& operator+=(const Number& other) {
        _re += other.re(); return *this;
    }
    // This is a virtual that throws an error
    virtual Number& operator*=(const Number&) {
        throw std::runtime_error(
            "Number& operator*=(const Number&) : Not implemented");
    }
};
```

Create an entry in the VTable, but do not populate it.

Child classes **have to** override pure virtual methods.

# CHILD CLASSES

```
class Real : Number {  
    [...]  
public:  
  
    explicit operator std::string() override {  
        return std::to_string(re());  
    }  
  
    Number& operator*=(const Number&) override {  
        setRe(re()*other.re());  
        return *this;  
    }  
    [...]  
};
```

Here, we want to override the pure virtual and the "error: not implemented" methods.

# ABSTRACT CLASS

A class containing a pure virtual method cannot be instantiated. Such classes are called **abstract**

Abstract classes are very useful to polymorphism because you delegate the actual implementation to the child classes.

A class can inherit from several base classes.

# INTERFACE DESIGN PATTERN

An interface is:

- An abstract class
- With no attributes
- All methods are virtual

You can see it as a building block of the interface of a child class



# INTERFACE DESIGN PATTERN

```
class IPrintable {
public:
    virtual operator std::string() const =0;
    virtual void print() const {
        std::cout << static_cast<std::string>(*this)
            << std::endl;
    }
};
```

```
class IBuffer {
public:
    virtual std::string read() const =0;
    virtual void write(const std::string&) =0;
};
```

# INTERFACE DESIGN PATTERN

```
class Buffer : IBuffer, IPrintable {
    std::string _buff;
public:
    operator std::string() const override {
        return _buff;
    }
    std::string read() const override {
        return _buff;
    }
    void write(const std::string& text) override {
        _buff += text;
    }
};
```

# INTERFACE DESIGN PATTERN

```
class Console : IBuffer, IPrintable {
    std::string _history;
public:
    operator std::string() const override {
        return _history;
    }
    [...]
};
```

```
[...]
    std::string read() const override {
        std::string res;
        std::cin >> res;
        _history += "\n" + res;
        return res;
    }
    void write(const std::string& text) override {
        _history += "\n" + text;
        std::cout << text << std::endl;
    }
};
```

# INTERFACE DESIGN PATTERN

```
int main() {  
    Console console;  
    Buffer buffer;  
    std::vector<IBuffer*>(2) buffers;  
    buffers[0] = &console;  
    buffers[1] = &buffer;  
    for (auto* item: buffers)  
        item->write("This is a test");  
    for (IPrintable* item: {&console, &buffer})  
        item->print();  
}
```

We can use pointers to abstracts even if we cannot instantiate them.

This is a powerful mechanism to completely ignore the actual object's type while still interacting with it.

# LAST RECOMMENDATIONS

- Use the standard library
- Avoid new/delete if you can
- Use meaningful names, avoid cryptic code
- Class declarations in .h files
- Non-template implementations in .cpp files
- Template implementations in .hpp files

# LIBRARIES OF INTEREST

- Boost
- ArrayFire
- Eigen3
- Tensorflow
- libtorch
- OpenMP
- OpenMPI
- Qt
- Matplot++